(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 17 May 2001 (17.05.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 01/34624 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: 21/04, C12Q 1/68, 1/00

C07H 21/02,

(74) Agent: BIEKER-BRADY, Kristina; Clark & Elbing LLP, 176 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110-2214 (US).

(84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC,

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US00/30888

(81) Designated State (national): CA.

(22) International Filing Date:

9 November 2000 (09.11.2000)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

09/437,458

10 November 1999 (10.11.1999) US

Published:

With international search report.

NL, PT, SE, TR).

 Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments.

(71) Applicant: MESSAGE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [US/US]; 30 Spring Mill Drive, Malvern, PA 19355 (US).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(72) Inventors: GIORDANO, Anthony; 38 North Spring Lane, Phoenixville, PA 19460 (US). XAVIER, Asish, K.; 1155 Kingsway Road #4, West Chester, PA 19382 (US).



(54) Title: METHODS FOR IDENTIFYING COMPOUNDS THAT AFFECT RNA/RNA BINDING PROTEIN INTERACTIONS

(57) Abstract: Disclosed herein are nucleic acid sequences and their optimized subfragments which are located in the mRNA untranslated regions of therapeutically-relevant genes. These sequences specifically bind RNA binding proteins (RBPs) and/or regulate the mRNA functionality. Also disclosed are methods of optimizing a subfragment of a parent nucleic acid sequence such that the RBP binding activity or mRNA functionality of the parent nucleic acid sequence is preserved in the optimized subfragment.



5

METHODS FOR IDENTIFYING COMPOUNDS THAT AFFECT RNA/RNA BINDING PROTEIN INTERACTIONS

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to the field of nucleic acid regulatory elements that affect post-transcriptional regulation of protein expression. More specifically, the invention features nucleic acid sequences, located in the mRNA untranslated regions of various therapeutically-relevant genes which specifically bind RNA binding proteins (RBPs) or have other roles in the regulation of protein expression from the linked RNA. These sequences are used in screening assays, for example, to identify compounds that affect the RNA/RBP binding pair interaction. Such compounds can be administered therapeutically to regulate protein expression.

Background of the Invention

20

The regulation of protein expression can occur at a number of levels: transcriptional, post-transcriptional, or post-translational. The modulation of protein expression can produce significant benefits in the treatment of disease. Accordingly, recent efforts to achieve such regulatory control have focused on the development of small molecules that regulate transcription factors as well as antisense molecules that inhibit protein expression. While the transcription factor approach holds promise, there are drawbacks. For example, a compound which modulates a transcription factor may lack specificity and require nuclear localization; both of these limitations are problematic for those in the field of drug development. For example, a drug that affects the binding of a targeted transcription factor and has beneficial results may also affect transcription of many other genes in a deleterious manner. In addition, there are difficulties in

designing a drug that both effectively interacts with the target and is transported into the nucleus. Targeting RNA with antisense oligonucleotides to inhibit protein expression also has drawbacks; the approach is restricted to strategies for decreasing protein expression and may be limited by relatively poor intracellular transport of oligonucleotides.

Another possible approach to modifying post-transcriptional protein expression in eukaryotic cells involves targeting the specific interaction of proteins that bind RNA (RNA binding proteins or RBPs) with the RNA molecules. These RBPs appear to mediate the processing of pre-mRNAs, the transport of mRNA from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, mRNA stabilization, translational efficiency, and the sequestration of some mRNAs. The most common RBP motifs are the RNP motif, the Arg-rich motif, the RGG box, the KH motif, and the double-stranded RNA-binding motif (Burd and Dreyfuss, Science 265: 615-621, 1994). Some key factors in RNA/RBP interactions involve sequence and structure recognition, positional effects, and pre-binding to Z-DNA (Herbert et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 7550-7554, 1995; Malter 246: 664-666, 1989).

Nucleic acid sequences and screening methods for detecting compounds which alter the RNA/RBP binding pair interaction would greatly speed the discovery of therapeutic drugs which alter protein expression.

Summary of the Invention

In general, the invention features nucleic acid sequences and their optimized subfragments that are located in the mRNA untranslated regions of various, therapeutically-relevant genes. These sequences specifically bind RNA binding proteins (RBPs) and/or are involved in mRNA functionality. Also featured are methods of truncating parent sequences to create optimized subfragments. These parent sequences and optimized sequences are used as RNA molecule targets to screen for compounds that affect the RNA/RBP

binding pair interaction or mRNA functionality. Compounds identified by the screening process can be administered therapeutically to regulate protein expression.

In a first aspect, the invention features a nucleic acid sequence comprising one of the nucleic acid sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, or a subfragment nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, wherein an mRNA molecule comprising the sequence has RNA binding protein (RBP) binding activity or regulates the functionality of the mRNA. These nucleic acid sequences are derived from the following gene sequences: the human interleukin-6 receptor, Accession #'s X12830, M20556 (SEQ ID NO: 1); human cyclooxygenase-2, Accession # M90100 (SEQ ID NO: 2 and SEO ID NO: 11); human ubiquitin, Accession # U49869, X04803 (SEQ ID NO: 3); human uracil DNA glycosylase-1 (Ung-1), Accession # X89398 (SEQ ID NO: 4); human excision repair controlling gene, Accession # U16815 (SEQ ID NO: 15 5); human cytochrome C oxidase 6b, Accession # D28426 (SEQ ID NO: 6); human cytochrome C oxidase 5b, Accession # U41284 (SEQ ID NO: 7); human Beta-2 adrenergic receptor, Accession # M15169, J02728, M16106 (SEO ID NO: 8); human breast cancer susceptibility-2 (BRCA2), Accession # U43746 (SEQ ID NO: 9); human interleukin-4, Accession # M23442 (SEQ ID 20 NO: 10); human vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, Accession # M73255 (SEQ ID NO: 12 and SEQ ID NO: 13); human interleukin-11, Accession # 81890 (SEQ ID NO: 14); human macrophage CSF receptor (c-fms proto-oncogene), Accession # X03663 (SEQ ID NO: 15); human interleukin-9, Accession # M86593, M55519 (SEO ID NO: 16); human leptin (murine obesity 25 homologue), Accession # NM_000230 (SEQ ID NO: 17); rat neuropeptide Y5 receptor, Accession # U66274 (SEQ ID NO: 18); rat orexin receptor-1, Accession # AF0411244 (SEQ ID NO: 19); and mouse mahogany protein, Accession # AF116897 (SEQ ID NO: 20). Preferably, the subfragment nucleic acid sequence is optimized. Preferably, the mRNA functionality of the parent

ij

or subfragment sequence involves an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated mRNA, more preferably, the alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated mRNA comprises a change of at least 20% above or below the corresponding value for a control mRNA that lacks the sequence.

In a second aspect, the invention provides a method of identifying an optimized subfragment of any one of the parent nucleic acid sequences of SEQ 10 ID NOS: 1-20, wherein the method involves isolating a subfragment nucleic acid sequence from the parent nucleic acid sequence, assaying RNA molecules comprising the subfragment for RBP binding activity or mRNA functionality, and identifying a subfragment nucleic sequence that maintains an RBP binding activity and/or mRNA functionality that is equivalent to the parent sequence. 15 Preferably, the subfragment nucleic acid sequence is isolated by restriction enzyme digestion, and the subfragment is identified by deletion mapping. Preferably, the mRNA functionality of the sequences involves an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated 20 mRNA, more preferably, the alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated mRNA comprises a change of at least 20% above or below the corresponding value for a control mRNA that lacks the sequence.

A third and related aspect of the invention provides a nucleic acid sequence identified as an optimized subfragment of any one of SEQ ID NOS:

1-20 by a method which involves isolating a subfragment nucleic acid sequence from the parent nucleic acid sequence, assaying RNA molecules comprising the subfragment for RBP binding activity or mRNA functionality, and identifying a

:.:

subfragment nucleic sequence that maintains an RBP binding activity and/or mRNA functionality that is equivalent to the parent sequence. Preferably, the mRNA functionality of the parent and subfragment sequences involves an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated mRNA, more preferably, the alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated mRNA comprises a change of at least 20% above or below the corresponding value for a control mRNA that lacks the sequence.

The fourth aspect features a method of identifying a candidate compound having an effect on an RNA/RBP binding pair interaction or mRNA functionality, wherein the method involves contacting an RNA molecule comprising at least one of the nucleic acid sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, or at least one optimized subfragment sequence of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, with at least one RBP, and at least one test compound, and measuring the RNA/RBP binding pair interaction and/or mRNA functionality, wherein a candidate compound is identified as a test compound that affects the interaction and/or functionality. Preferably, the mRNA functionality of the parent or subfragment 20 sequence involves an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the mRNA, more preferably, the alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of the UTR-associated mRNA 25 comprises a change of at least 20% above or below the corresponding value for a control mRNA that lacks the sequence.

The fifth aspect of the invention provides a method for identifying an RBP that interacts with an RNA molecule comprising the nucleic acid sequence, or an optimized subfragment sequence, of any one of SEQ ID NOS:

1-20, wherein the method involves contacting the RNA molecule with at least one RBP, and measuring RNA/RBP binding pair interactions. The detection of an RNA/RBP binding pair interaction identifies the RPB that interacts with the RNA molecule.

5

15

By "RNA/RBP binding pair interaction" is meant a physical association between an RNA molecule and an RBP, or an RBP complex made up of more than one protein, that is based on the specific characteristics of the interacting molecules, and is not inhibited by non-specific competitor molecules present at a concentration equivalent to the interacting molecules. The RNA and RBP molecules that form the RNA/RBP binding pair interaction can be separated from their counterpart, non-associated molecules by filter binding assay, electrophoretic mobility assay, homopolymer beads, or fluorescent anisotrophy assay.

By "RBP binding activity" is meant the specific binding affinity of an RNA molecule comprising a certain nucleic acid sequence for the RBP with which it forms an RNA/RBP interacting pair. The RBP binding activity is specific for a particular RNA molecule if the RNA binds an RBP or RBP complex with at least 10-fold higher affinity than does a non-relevant RNA molecule with the same G-C content. The affinity measures can be determined by filter binding assay, electrophoretic mobility assay, homopolymer beads, or fluorescent anisotrophy assay. The specificity of the interaction between an RNA and an RNA binding protein can be determined, for example, by adding 100 and 1,000 fold molar excess of unlabeled identical RNA (specific competitor) or the same excess of different RNA (non-specific competitor) to the binding reaction, and assaying for binding activity, for example, using assays described herein. A concentration-dependent inhibition of complex formation is observed with unlabeled identical RNA while no competition is seen when different RNA is added in excess.

PCT/US00/30888 WO 01/34624

20

By "mRNA functionality" is meant the ability of an mRNA molecule comprising a certain nucleic acid UTR sequence in association with a protein's coding region to alter the protein expression from the linked coding region by altering the pre-mRNA processing, or the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, or editing and splicing functions of the mRNA. The mRNA functionality can be assessed, for example, by measuring the half-life of the transcript (Saulnier-Blache et al., Mol. Pharmacol. 50: 1432-1442, 1996; Yang et al., J. Biol. Chem. 272: 15466-15473, 1997), polysomal distribution along the transcript (Izquierdo et al., Mol. Cell Biol. 17: 5255-5268, 1997; Luis et al., J. Biol. Chem. 268: 1868-1875, 1993; Santaren et al., J. Biochem. 113: ... 129-131, 1993), or the transcript's intracellular distribution (Yang et al., 1997, supra). Any of the above measures of function for a UTR-associated transcript that differs by 20% or more above or below the value for the corresponding UTR-free transcript indicates that the UTR has mRNA functionality. An increase in the half-life (or a decrease in degradation rate) of the full length transcript indicates an increase in mRNA stability; an increase in transcript length indicates an increase in translational efficiency; and an increase in a transcript's relative distribution in the cytosol indicates in increase in transport out of the nucleus.

By "equivalent to said parent sequence" is meant RBP binding activity or mRNA functionality differing by no more than 50%, preferably, by no more than 30%, and, more preferably, by no more than 10% from the corresponding activity or functionality in the parent sequence.

By a "nucleic acid subfragment" is meant a shorter sequence derived 25 from a longer parent sequence. The subfragment may be shortened by cleaving the 5' or the 3' end of the parent, or by internal deletion of a parent sequence, or by any combination of the above.

15

By an "optimized" nucleic acid sequence is meant a nucleic acid subfragment of a longer parent sequence, wherein the subfragment still maintains an RNA/RBP binding activity and/or mRNA functionality that is equivalent to the parent nucleic acid sequence. An optimized sequence can result from cleavage of the parent sequence at the 5' and/or 3' ends, from an internal deletion, or from a combination of the above. Alternatively, such a nucleic acid sequence may be synthesized.

A nucleic acid molecule or nucleic acid segment referred to as having a specific nucleic acid sequence is intended to mean a nucleic acid molecule in any of its corresponding forms, for example, DNA, cDNA, RNA, or mRNA.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description, and from the claims.

Detailed Description

RNA/RBP binding pair interactions are known to regulate protein expression through the modulation of RNA stabilization, translational efficiency, RNA localization, RNA transcription, and/or RNA editing and splicing processes. Given the importance of RNA/RBP binding pair interactions in the regulation of protein expression, they are attractive targets for the drug screening assays of the present invention. A compound identified in these screens as having an effect on a particular RNA/RBP binding pair interaction, or on the mRNA functionality, can modulate the expression of a gene product that is endogenously associated with the RNA sequence screened.

Therefore, such compounds can be administered as a therapeutic for diseases associated with this protein.

We have discovered certain nucleic acid sequences, derived from the mRNA untranslated regions (UTRs) of a variety of therapeutically-relevant genes, that specifically bind RNA binding proteins (RBPs) (SEQ ID NOS: 1-

20). We have also discovered methods of subdividing such RBP-binding nucleic acid sequences (parent sequences) to obtain shorter nucleic acid sequences that maintain an RNA/RBP binding pair interaction or mRNA functionality that is equivalent to the parent sequences.

. 5

The mRNA functionality of the parent or optimized sequences involves the ability of an mRNA molecule, comprising a certain nucleic acid UTR sequence in association with a protein's coding region, to alter the protein expression from the linked coding region by altering the pre-mRNA processing, or the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, or 10 editing and splicing functions of the mRNA.

The smaller, or optimized sequences, can be used as substitutes for the parent sequences for the purposes further discussed below. If further confirmation of whether the optimized sequence is an adequate substitute for the parent sequence is desired, either the RBP binding activity or the mRNA 15 functionality of the optimized sequence, or both properties, can be assessed to more predictably determine whether the optimized sequence will function in a manner that is relevant to the parent sequence. These optimized sequences have the advantage of having reduced nonspecific protein binding and of isolating one or more of the regulatory activities of the RNA molecules so that therapeutics with specificity for a given activity can be readily identified.

The parent sequences of the present invention, or their optimized subfragments, can be translated into mRNA transcripts for the following: 1) to screen for compounds that affect the RBP binding activity of a particular RNA/RBP binding pair interaction, and/or the mRNA functionality; 2) to identify novel RNA/RBP binding pair interactions; and 3) to modify the protein expression of a heterologous gene. These methods are further discussed below.

The Parent Sequences

We have identified twenty nucleic acid sequences that possess specific RBP binding ability. The twenty sequences are found in the mRNA UTR regions from eighteen different genes that were initially chosen for study because of their biological importance. The 5' and 3' UTRs were identified from database sequences having the following Accession #'s and encoding the following gene products: the human interleukin-6 receptor, Accession #'s X12830, M20556 (SEQ ID NO: 1); human cyclooxygenase-2, Accession # M90100 (SEQ ID NO: 2 and SEQ ID NO: 11); human ubiquitin, Accession # U49869, X04803 (SEQ ID NO: 3); human uracil DNA glycosylase-1 (Ung-1), Accession # X89398 (SEQ ID NO: 4); human excision repair controlling gene, Accession # U16815 (SEQ ID NO: 5); human cytochrome C oxidase 6b, Accession # D28426 (SEQ ID NO: 6); human cytochrome C oxidase 5b, Accession # U41284 (SEQ ID NO: 7); human Beta-2 adrenergic receptor, Accession # M15169, J02728, M16106 (SEQ ID NO: 8); human breast cancer susceptibility-2 (BRCA2), Accession # U43746 (SEQ ID NO: 9); human interleukin-4, Accession # M23442 (SEQ ID NO: 10); human vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, Accession # M73255 (SEQ ID NO: 12 and SEQ ID NO: 13); human interleukin-11, Accession # 81890 (SEQ ID NO: 14); human macrophage CSF receptor (c-fms proto-oncogene), Accession # X03663 (SEQ ID NO: 15); human interleukin-9, Accession # M86593, M55519 (SEQ ID NO: 16); human leptin (murine obesity homologue), Accession # NM_000230 (SEQ ID NO: 17); rat neuropeptide Y5 receptor, Accession # U66274 (SEQ ID NO: 18); rat orexin receptor-1, Accession # AF0411244 (SEQ ID NO: 19); and 25 mouse mahogany protein, Accession # AF116897 (SEQ ID NO: 20). The sequences of the present invention were amplified by PCR from cellular DNA, genomic DNA, or cDNA made from a relevant cell line by isolation of total RNA and reverse transcription.

as follows. The UTR sequence was placed under the control of a promoter for *in vitro* transcription, such as an SP6 or T7 promoter, and RNA was produced and radioactively labeled. The RNA was then mixed with a protein extract from an appropriate cell line that endogenously expresses the UTR-associated gene, for example, U937 and K562 cell lines were used for inflammatory genes, the 3T3-L1 cell line was used for leptin and mahogany genes, and rat brain extract was used for rat neuropeptide Y5 receptor and orexin receptor-1 genes. The protein extract was prepared by lysing cells with digitonin in buffer containing 25 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM PMSF, 2 mM NaF, 2 mM NaPPi, and 1-10 μg/ml of the protease inhibitors aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin. Extracts were centrifuged twice at 16,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C to remove nuclei, aliquoted, snap frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C until use.

The binding pair interactions between the UTR sequences of the invention and RBP's in the cellular extracts were facilitated by a binding solution containing 7.5 mM Bis-Tris Propane, pH 8.5, 50 mM K⁺, 1 mM Mg⁺⁺ 0.2 mM DTT, and 10% (v/v) glycerol. Poly r(G), tRNA, heparin molecules, and unrelated RNA molecules of similar length were used as non-specific competitors of the RNA/RBP binding pair interactions. The interactions were detected by filter binding assay or electrophoretic gel mobility shift assay.

15

٤,

Techniques for *in vitro* transcription of RNA molecules and methods for cloning genes encoding known RNA molecules are described in the literature (Sambrook et al.) and commercial kits are available (mCap RNA capping kit, Stratagene). Other methods of preparing cell extracts and detecting RNA/RBP binding pair interactions are described in the literature (see, e.g., WO 98/04923) and are further discussed below with regard to the optimization of fragments and screening assays.

Optimization of Subfragments

Creation of Subfragments

To optimize the parent sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, or other parent UTR-derived nucleic acid sequences, standard restriction mapping techniques are used to create smaller sequences that maintain an RBP binding activity and/or mRNA functionality equivalent to the parent sequences.

Alternatively, PCR amplification using appropriate probes or organic synthesis may be used.

In the restriciton enzyme approach, the parent nucleic acid sequence is linearized, if necessary, and divided to create subfragments. Different combinations of restriction enzymes are used to digest the parent sequences so that a variety of overlapping sequences are created for each parent sequence. In vitro transcription is carried out to produce mRNA transcripts encoding the subfragments as well as the parent sequences. These transcripts are used for testing RBP binding activity and/or mRNA functionality. Subfragments, or combinations of subfragments, which are identified as having RBP binding activity and/or RNA functionality equivalent to the parent sequences, are optimized, and can be further digested such that smaller and smaller optimized subfragments are identified.

20

RNA/RBP Binding Pair Interactions

The RBP binding activity of the nucleic acid subfragments can be assessed and compared to their respective parent sequences by measuring the RNA/RBP binding pair interaction for each of the nucleic acid sequences. Subfragments with RBP binding activity equivalent to the parent sequence are considered to be optimized by this process. The methods of detecting such RNA/RBP binding pair interactions are well known in the art, and include, for example, filter binding assays (Wu and Uhlenbeck, Biochemistry 26: 8221-8227, 1987; Carey and Uhlenbeck, Biochemistry 22: 2610-2615, 1983),

electrophoretic gel mobility shift assays (Izquierdo and Cuezva, Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:5255-5268, 1997; Malter, Science 246: 664-666, 1989; Zaidi and Malter, J. Biol. Chem. 269: 24007-24013, 1994; Claffey et al., Mol. Biol. Cell 9: 469-481, 1998; Brewer, Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 2460-2466, 1991); homopolymer beads (Siomi et al., Cell 77: 33-39, 1994), or fluorescence anisotrophy (Tetin et al., Biochemistry 32: 9011-9017, 1993; Goss et al., Nucleic Acids Research 11: 5589-5602, 1983; and Liang et al., WO 98/39484).

It is preferred that conditions allowing detection of interactions between nearly every type of RNA and RBP pair be employed. Exemplary protocols, binding conditions, and RNA binding proteins that may be used are disclosed in detail in PCT application WO 98/04923 and are summarized below.

The preferred conditions allow detection of a majority of RNA/RBP interactions. The interactions are facilitated in a binding solution that includes a buffer, a monovalent cation, a divalent cation, a reducing agent, and a density agent. The basic method includes forming a binding solution containing the RNA molecules and binding buffer, heating the solution to denature the RNA, cooling the solution to the reaction temperature to fold the RNA in proper formation, adding RBPs, and detecting the interactions using any suitable procedure. The specificity of binding pair interactions is assessed by comparing the binding in the presence of specific and nonspecific competing RNA. If desired, a competitor of nonspecific RNA/RBP interactions, such as poly r(G), tRNA, heparin, or unrelated RNA molecules of similar length can be added to the binding solution to reduce the background of nonspecific binding.

It is preferred that detection involve the separation of interacting RNA molecules and RBPs, such as on the basis of size or physical properties. Two preferred methods are filter binding and gel mobility shift.

103

Detection of interactions between RNA binding proteins and RNA molecules can be facilitated by attaching a detectable label to the RNA molecule. Generally, labels known to be useful for nucleic acids can be used to label RNA molecules, including, for example, isotopes such as ³³P, ³²P, and ³⁵S, fluorescent labels such as fluorescein (FITC), 5,6-carboxymethyl fluorescein, Texas red, nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl (NBD), coumarin, dansyl chloride, rhodamine, 4'-6-diaminidino-2-phenylinodole (DAPI), the cyanine dyes (Cy3, Cy3.5, Cy5, Cy5.5, and Cy7), and biotin.

Labeled nucleotides are the preferred form of label since they can be directly incorporated into the RNA molecules during synthesis. Examples of labeled nucleotides include BrdUrd (Hoy and Schimke, Mutation Research 290: 217-230 (1993)), BuUTP (Wansick et al., J. Cell Biology 122:283-293 (1993)) and nucleotides modified with biotin (Langer et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:6633 (1981)) or with suitable haptens such as digoxygenin (Kerhof, Anal. Biochem. 205:359-364 (1992)). Suitable fluorescence-labeled nucleotides are Fluorescein-isothiocyanate-dUTP, Cyanine-3-dUTP and Cyanine-5-dUTP (Yu et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 22:3226-3232 (1994)). A preferred nucleotide analog label for RNA molecules is Biotin-14-cytidine-5'-triphosphate. Fluorescein, CY3, and Cy5 can be linked to dUTP for direct labeling. Cy3.5 and Cy7 are available as avidin or anti-digoxygenin conjugates for secondary detection of biotin- or digoxygenin-labeled probes.

The RBPs used for optimization can be part of a crude or purified cellular or nuclear extract, and can be used either in isolation or in combination. These RBPs can be prepared using known methods of protein extraction and purification (Ashley et al., Science 262: 563-566, 1993; Rouault et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 5768-5772, 1989; Neupert et al., Nucleic Acids Research 18: 51-55, 1990; Zhang et al., Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 7652-7665, 1993; and Burd and Dreyfuss, Science 265: 615-21, 1994). Alternatively, known RBPs can be produced recombinantly using standard techniques. DNA

encoding RNA binding proteins can be obtained from available clones, by synthesizing a DNA molecule encoding an RNA binding protein with a known amino acid sequence, or by cloning the gene encoding the RNA binding protein. Techniques for recombinant expression and methods for cloning genes encoding known proteins are well known (Sambrook et al., Molecular Cloning, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1989).

Detection of interactions between RNA binding proteins and RNA molecules can also be facilitated by attaching a detectable label to the RBP. Preferred labels include ¹²⁵I, ³H, ³⁵S, and, in the case of recombinant proteins, they can be incorporated through the use of labeled amino acids. Techniques for labeling and detecting proteins are known in the art (Sambrook et al. and Ausubel et al., Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1996). Detection of an RBP can also be achieved by the use of an RBP specific antibody (Johnstone and Thorpe, Immunochemistry in Practice, Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1997).

...:8

٠.;٠

Functionality

The mRNA functionality of the subfragment sequences, as compared to their corresponding parent sequences, can be used as an independent tool for identifying optimized subfragments with function equivalent to their parent sequences. Alternatively, the mRNA functionality studies can be used in combination with RNA/RBP binding pair interaction studies to further verify that the optimized sequences are adequate substitutes for the parent sequences. Studies of mRNA functionality involve, for example, assessments of the sequences' effects on translational efficiency, mRNA stability, and transcript intracellular transport, as well as the editing and splicing of RNA.

To determine whether a parent sequence regulates mRNA functionality, or whether a subfragment sequence regulates functionality in a fashion equivalent to the parent, constructs are generated in which the parent or

WO 01/34624 PCT/US00/30888, ...

subfragment UTR sequence to be tested is adjoined to the coding segment of a gene at a position upstream or downstream of the coding region, depending on whether the UTR is derived from a 5' or 3' UTR, respectively. The coding region may encode a reporter gene, or the gene that is endogenously associated with the UTR sequence with an inserted tag, such as an epitope tag.

Intracellular expression of the construct can be achieved by transfecting cells with expression vectors containing the construct. If the parent or subfragment sequence is adjoined to a reporter gene, then changes in the level of reporter gene product can be used as an indication that the parent or subfragment sequence regulates at least one aspect of mRNA functionality.

When assessing the functionality of a parent sequence, transcripts from constructs which contain the coding region, but lack the UTR sequence, are used as controls. To determine whether a subfragment maintains a function equivalent to the parent sequence, transcripts from a construct containing the full-length parent sequence are used as controls.

mRNA Stability

The stability of mRNA can be determined using either *in vivo* or *in vitro* transcribed mRNA. In cells, stability is determined by first blocking transcription in transfected cells with a compound such as actinomycin D (5 μ g/ml), and then measuring the degradation rate of the transcripts by quantitating their level in cells harvested at different times. To quantify the level of transcript, total cell RNA purified from harvested cells is subjected to electrophoresis followed by transfer to a filter by pressure blotting. Following incubation, the filter is subject to hybridization by a radiolabeled probe designed to detect the transcript sequence. Additionally, real time PCR with total cell RNA can be used for quantitating mRNA degradation rates. Such degradation rates are calculated, for example, by densitometric scanning of the autoradiographs (Saulnier-Blache et al., Mol. Pharmacol. 50: 1432-42, 1996; Yang et al., J. Biol. Chem. 272: 15466-15473, 1997). A decrease in the rate of

degradation indicates an increase in mRNA stability.

Translational Efficiency

A reticulocyte system can be used to assess the effect of the UTR RNA sequences on translational efficiency. *In vitro* synthesized mRNAs, 5 derived from corresponding plasmids (up to 40 μ g/ml) are utilized as templates for protein synthesis in a nuclease-treated rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Amersham). The reactions are performed in the presence of 40 μ Ci of L-[35S]methionine (greater than 1 Ci/mM) and 40 U of RNasin (Izquierdo et al., Mol. Cell Biol. 17: 5255-5268, 1997; Luis et al., J. Biol. Chem. 268: 1868-1875, 1993; Santaren et al., J. Biochem. 113: 129-131, 1993). At various times for up to 1 hour, the products are separated by SDS-PAGE. Ribosomal distribution is indicated by the length (migration) of the transcripts; an increase in length indicates an increase in translational efficiency. Efficiency is also assessed by monitoring the amount of protein produced; an increase in amount indicates an increase in translational efficiency. In addition, translational efficiency can be monitored by analyzing the distribution of the transcripts on polysomes. Transcripts associated with high molecular weight polysomes represent actively transcribed messages, those on low molecular weight polysomes are associated with poor translation, and those found in the nonpolysome fraction of a gradient are not being translated. By analyzing the percentages associated with each fraction, an estimate of translational efficiency can be determined.

Transcript Distribution

The poor processing of transcripts may reflect failure of the transcript to move from the nucleus to associate with translationally active ribosomes in the cytoplasm. To assess the effect of the parent UTR sequences and the subfragments on this function, the cytosolic versus total cellular transcript concentration is compared in cells transfected. Harvested cells are lysed and subjected to sucrose gradient fractionation. RNA is precipitated

from cell fractions, denatured, and blotted onto a nylon membrane in a slot-blot apparatus. Following hybridization to a labeled probe, the transcript RNA levels are quantitated for the various fractions. Alternatively, constructs with or without the UTR sequence can be *in vitro* labeled with a fluorescent tag and transfected into the cell. Cellular distribution of the transcript is then analyzed using a fluorescent microscope. If the relative quantity of transcript in the cytoplasm compared to total cell transcript RNA is modified when a UTR sequence is present, then this UTR sequence affects cytoplasmic transport of its associated transcript.

10

Screening Assays

RNA molecules comprised of the disclosed parent nucleic acid sequences, or their optimized subfragments, can be used in screening procedures to identify compounds that affect the RNA/RBP binding pair interactions associated with these RNA molecules. In a similar way, novel RNA/RBP binding pair interactions associated with these RNA molecules can be identified. As an alternative to, or in conjunction with screening for RNA/RBP binding pair interactions, screening procedures can be tailored to identify compounds that alter the mRNA functionality of the RNA molecules.

The screening protocols can be designed to allow simultaneous assessment of the effect of numerous test compounds in a high throughput screening assay, as described in further detail in PCT application WO 98/04923.

Use of the longer parent sequences provides the benefit of more physiologically relevant target sequences. On the other hand, use of the optimized sequences provides the advantage of a smaller alternative molecules that can be used when they are better suited to the assay methodology. For example, when a large RNA molecule is used in an RBP binding assay, actual RBP binding may be difficult to detect because the RNA/RBP binding pair is only slightly larger than the RNA molecule alone. In addition, nonspecific

interactions with other parts of the parent sequence transcript can interfere with detection of specific interactions. This problem can be alleviated by using a smaller, optimized subfragment, which has reduced nonspecific binding and an equivalent RBP binding activity that is easier to detect because the interacting 5 RNA/RBP complex is significantly larger than the RNA alone. Furthermore, the optimized sequences provide more specific information regarding the actual nucleic acid site of RNA/RBP binding pair interaction, which facilitates the rational drug design of compounds that affect this interaction.

The screening protocols for identifying compounds that affect 10 RNA/RBP binding pair interactions include, for example, filter binding assays (Wu and Uhlenbeck, Biochemistry 26: 8221-8227, 1987; Carey and Uhlenbeck, Biochemistry 22: 2610-2615, 1983), electrophoretic gel mobility shift assays (Izquierdo and Cuezva, Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:5255-5268, 1997; Malter, Science 246: 664-666, 1989; Zaidi and Malter, J. Biol. Chem. 269: 24007-24013, 1994; 15 Claffey et al., Mol. Biol. Cell 9: 469-481, 1998; Brewer, Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 2460-2466, 1991); homopolymer beads (Siomi et al., Cell 77: 33-39, 1994), or fluorescence anisotrophy (Tetin et al., Biochemistry 32: 9011-9017, 1993; Goss et al., Nucleic Acids Research 11: 5589-5602, 1983; and Liang et al., WO 98/39484) (see generally, WO 98/04923).

With regard to mRNA functionality, compounds are screened for the ability to alter the RNA molecule's pre-mRNA processing, or stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, or editing and splicing functions of the mRNA. These functions can be assessed, for example, by measuring the expression of the UTR-associated coding region, the half-life of 25 the transcript (Saulnier-Blache et al., Mol. Pharmacol. 50: 1432-1442, 1996; Yang et al., J. Biol. Chem. 272: 15466-15473, 1997), polysomal distribution along the transcript (Izquierdo et al., Mol. Cell Biol. 17: 5255-5268, 1997; Luis et al., J. Biol. Chem. 268: 1868-1875, 1993; Santaren et al., J. Biochem. 113: 129-131, 1993), the type of polysome associated with the transcript, or the

transcript's intracellular distribution (Yang et al., 1997, supra).

In general, extracts, compounds, or chemical libraries that can be used in screening assays are known in the art. Examples of such extracts or compounds include, but are not limited to, extracts based on plant, fungal, prokaryotic, or animal sources, fermentation broths, and synthetic compounds, as well as modification of existing compounds. Numerous methods are also 17. available for generating random or directed synthesis (e.g., semi-synthesis or total synthesis) of any number of chemical compounds, including, but not limited to, saccharide-, lipid-, peptide-, and nucleic acid-based compounds. 10 Libraries of genomic DNA or cDNA may be generated by standard techniques (see, e.g., Ausubel et al., supra) and are also commercially available (Clontech Laboratories Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Nucleic acid libraries used to screen for compounds that alter RNA/RBP binding pair interactions or mRNA functionality are not limited to the species from which the RNA or RBP is derived. For example, a Xenopus cDNA may be found to encode a protein that alters a human RNA/RBP interaction.

Synthetic compound libraries are commercially available from
Brandon Associates (Merrimack, NH) and Aldrich Chemical (Milwaukee, WI).
Alternatively, libraries of natural compounds in the form of bacterial, fungal,
plant, and animal extracts are commercially available from a number of
sources, including Biotics (Sussex, UK), Xenova (Slough, UK), Harbor Branch
Oceanographics Institute (Ft. Pierce, FL), and PharmaMar, U.S.A. (Cambridge,
MA). In addition, natural and synthetically produced libraries are produced, if
desired, according to methods known in the art, e.g., by standard extraction and
fractionation methods.

When a crude extract is found to modulate an RNA/RBP binding pair interaction or mRNA functionality, further fractionation of the positive lead extract is necessary to isolate chemical constituents responsible. Thus, the goal of the extraction, fractionation, and purification process is the

characterization and identification of a chemical entity within the crude extract having the interaction- or function-modulating activities. The same assays described herein for the detection of interactions in mixtures of compounds can be used to purify the active component and to test derivatives thereof. Methods of fractionation and purification of such heterogenous extracts are known in the art. If desired, compounds shown to be useful agents for treatment are chemically modified according to methods known in the art.

Compounds which modulate an RNA molecule's RNA/RBP binding pair interaction or mRNA functionality may be administered by any appropriate route for treatment or prevention of a disease or condition associated with the expression of the protein endogenously associated with the gene from which SEQ ID NOS: 1-20 are derived. Examples of such diseases and conditions include neurodegenerative disease, stroke, cardiovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease, high blood pressure, cancer, including breast cancer, inflammatory diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, diseases associated with cellular proliferation, metabolic disorders, such as obesity and diabetes, and infectious diseases, such as bacterial or viral infections.

Administration may be parenteral, intravenous, intra-arterial, subcutaneous, intramuscular, intracranial, intraorbital, ophthalmic, intraventricular, intracapsular, intraspinal, intracisternal, intraperitoneal, intranasal, aerosol, by suppositories, or oral administration.

Therapeutic formulations may be in the form of liquid solutions or suspensions; for oral administration, formulations may be in the form of tablets or capsules; and for intranasal formulations, in the form of powders, nasal drops, or aerosols.

Methods well known in the art for making formulations are found, for example, in "Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences." Formulations for parenteral administration may, for example, contain excipients, sterile water, or saline, polyalkylene glycols such as polyethylene glycol, oils of vegetable

origin, or hydrogenated napthalenes. Biocompatible, biodegradable lactide polymer, lactide/glycolide copolymer, or polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene copolymers may be used to control the release of the compounds. Other potentially useful parenteral delivery systems include ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer particles, osmotic pumps, implantable infusion systems, and liposomes. Formulations for inhalation may contain excipients, for example, lactose, or may be aqueous solutions containing, for example, polyoxyethylene-9-lauryl ether, glycholate and deoxycholate, or may be oily solutions for administration in the form of nasal drops, or as a gel. The concentration of the compound in the formulation will vary depending upon a number of factors, including the dosage of the drug to be administered, and the route of administration.

The formulations can be administered to human patients in therapeutically effective amounts (e.g., amounts which prevent, eliminate, or reduce a pathological condition) to provide therapy for a disease or condition. Typical dose ranges are from about $0.1 \mu g/kg$ to about 1 g/kg of body weight per day. The preferred dosage of drug to be administered is likely to depend on such variables as the type and extent of the disorder, the overall health status of the particular patient, the formulation of the compound excipients, and its route of administration.

An additional use for the parent UTR sequences or their optimized subfragments is their incorporation into a recombinant construct such that expression of the construct is controlled by the UTR sequence. For example, a nucleic acid sequence of the invention can be inserted into a heterologous gene to form all or a part of the untranslated region of the gene's mRNA transcript. It is expected that the UTR sequence will interact with an RBP in these recombinant RNA molecules and that protein expression of the heterologous gene will be affected. This is analogous to recombining promoters with heterologous coding regions to alter or control the expression of the coding

region.

Other Embodiments

All publications and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference.

While the invention has been described in connection with specific embodiments, it will be understood that it is capable of further modifications. Therefore, this application is intended to cover any variations, uses, or adaptations of the invention that follow, in general, the principles of the invention, including departures from the present disclosure that come within known or customary practice within the art. Other embodiments are within the claims.

What is claimed is:

Claims

- A nucleic acid sequence comprising any one of the nucleic acid sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, or a subfragment nucleic acid sequence derived from
 any one of the sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, wherein an mRNA molecule comprising said sequence has RNA binding protein (RBP) binding activity or regulates the functionality of said mRNA.
- 2. The nucleic acid sequence of claim 1, wherein said subfragment nucleic acid sequence is optimized.
- 3. The nucleic acid sequence of claim 1, wherein the regulation of mRNA functionality comprises an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of said mRNA.
- 4. A method of identifying an optimized subfragment of any one of the parent nucleic acid sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, said method comprising isolating a subfragment nucleic acid sequence from said parent nucleic acid sequence, assaying RNA molecules comprising said subfragment for RBP binding activity or mRNA functionality, and identifying a subfragment nucleic sequence that maintains an RBP binding activity and/or mRNA functionality that is equivalent to said parent sequence.
- 5. The method of claim 4, wherein said subfragment nucleic acid sequence is isolated by restriction enzyme digestion.
 - 6. The method of claim 4, wherein said subfragment is identified by deletion mapping.

7. The method of claim 4, wherein said mRNA functionality comprises an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of said mRNA.

5

- 8. A nucleic acid sequence identified as an optimized subfragment of any one of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20 by the method of claim 4.
- A method of identifying a candidate compound having an effect on an
 RNA/RBP binding pair interaction or mRNA functionality, said method comprising contacting an RNA molecule comprising at least one nucleic acid sequence of any one of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, or at least one optimized subfragment sequence derived from any one of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, with at least one RBP, and at least one test compound, and measuring said RNA/RBP binding pair interaction and/or mRNA functionality, wherein a candidate compound is identified as a test compound that affects said interaction and/or functionality.
- 10. The method of claim 9, wherein said mRNA functionality comprises an alteration in pre-mRNA processing or in the stabilization, translational efficiency, localization, sequestration, editing, or splicing functions of said mRNA.

14

11. A method for identifying an RBP that interacts with an RNA molecule
25 comprising the nucleic acid sequence of any one of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, or an optimized subfragment sequence of any one of SEQ ID NOS: 1-20, said method comprising contacting said RNA molecule with at least one RBP, and measuring RNA/RBP binding pair interactions, wherein detection of said interactions identifies said RBP that interacts with said RNA molecule.

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Message Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

<120> NUCLEIC ACID SEQUENCES AND METHODS FOR IDENTIFYING COMPOUNDS THAT AFFECT RNA/RNA BINDING PROTEIN INTERACTIONS AND MRNA FUNCTIONALITY

| <130> 50093/014W01 | |
|--|--|
| <150> 09/437,458 <151> 1999-11-10 | |
| <160> 20 | |
| <170> FastSEQ for Windows Version 4.0 | |
| <210> 1 <211> 278 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | |
| <pre><400> 1 ctgggtaact agggaagata atattaagga agacaatgtg aaaagaaaaa tgagcctggc aagaatgcgt ttaaacttgg tttttaaaaa actgctgact gttttctctt gagagggtgg aatatccaat attcgctgtg tcagcataga agtaacttac ttaggtgtgg gggaagcacc ataactttgt ttagcccaaa accaagtcaa gtgaaaaagg aggaagagaa aaaatatttt cctgccaggc atggaggccc acgcacttcg ggaggtcg</pre> | 60 120 180 240 278 |
| <210> 2 <211> 243 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | |
| <pre><400> 2 gaagtaacta atgtttgaaa ttttaaagta cttttgggta tttttctgtc atcaaacaaa acaggtatca gtgcattatt aaatgaatat ttaaattaga cattaccagt aatttcatgt ctacttttta aaatcagcaa tgaaacaata atttgaaatt tctaaattca tagggtagaa tcacctgtaa aagcttgttt gatttcttaa agttattaaa cttgtacata taccaaaaag aag</pre> | 60 120 180 240 243 |
| <210> 3 <211> 122 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | 50 |
| <400> 3 gggcggttgg ctttgttggg tgagcttgtt tgtgtccctg tgggtggacg tggttggtga ttggcaggat cctggtatcc gctaacagaa ctaggtcaaa atgcagatct tcgtgaaaac | 190 39 60 2 120 278 |

| cc | 122 |
|---|---|
| <210> 4 <211> 127 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | 140 240 243 |
| <400> 4 ctcccagccc gtctccccgc tccagtttag aacctaattc ccaattcccg gaccgggccc agccctgggc tcttactgtc cgcttttgct gggacctgtt ccacaaatgg gcgtcttctg ccttggg | 60 120 127 |
| <210> 5 <211> 190 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | 2780 278 |
| <pre><400> 5 agegeceggg caggecaccc cgagecectt aactgegeag gegeteteac teagaaagge egetgggtge gggagegeag aggeggtgea gggeggetgg etegeetegg egtgeagtge gegtgegtgg agetgggage taggteeteg gagtgggeea gagatggegg eggeegaegg ggetttgeeg</pre> | 60 120 180 190 |
| <210> 6 <211> 69 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | .41 284 284 |
| <400> 6 ttctttgctg agggtcacat tgagctgcag gttgaatccg gggtgccttt aggattcagc accatggcg | 60 69 |
| <210> 7 <211> 84 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | 1000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 |
| <400> 7 aagtccctcc tgtctctgca gcttgttccc ggaagttttg ctgctagtcg cggacgcaat ggcttcaagg ttacttcgcg gagc | 60 84 |
| <210> 8 <211> 230 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | · : |
| <pre><400> 8 actgcgaagc ggcttcttca gagcacgggc tggaactggc aggcaccgcg agcccctagc acccgacaag ctgagtgtgc aggacgagtc cccaccacac ccacaccaca</pre> | 2460 2120 180 230 |

<211> 262 <212> DNA

<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 13

taaaatagca acactctata tttagattgt taaaataact agtgttgctt ggactattat aatttaatgc atgttaggaa aatttcacat taatatttgc tgacagctga cctttgtcat 60

120 1.69 4.00

| ctttcttcta ttttattccc tttcacaaaa ttttattcct atatagttta ttgacaataa tttcaggttt tgtaaagatg ccgggtttta tatttttata gacaaataat aagcaaaggg agcactgggt tgactttcag gt | 180 240 262 |
|--|--|
| <210> 14 <211> 253 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | 19 390 436 ₃ 261 |
| <pre><400> 14 cgaggaggag gggactgggg tcccggattc ttgggtctcc aagaagtctg tccacagact tctgccctgg ctcttcccca tctaggcctg ggcaggaaca tatattattt atttaagcaa ttacttttca tgttggggtg gggacggagg ggaaagggaa gcctgggttt ttgtacaaaa atgtgagaaa cctttgtgag acagagaaca gggaattaaa tgtgtcatac atatccactt gagggcgatt tgt</pre> | 60 120 180 240 253 |
| <210> 15 <211> 285 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | - 2 - 3 - 2 3 - 2 3 - 2 3 - 1 - 1 |
| caactagtag aaccttett cetaateee tratetteat ggaaatggae tgaettatge cetaatgaagt eeccaggage tacactgata etgagaaaae caggetettt ggggetagae agaetggeag agagtgagat etecetetet gagaggagea geagatgete acagaecaca etcageteag geecettgga geaggatgge teetetaaga atete | 1860 2120 - 180 240 285 |
| <210> 16 <211> 210 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | : 146 - 144 - 1160 - 1160 - 1160 - 1160 |
| <400> 16 gatgagaggc aagatatgaa gatgaaatat tatttatcct atttattaaa tttaaaaagc tttctcttta agttgctaca atttaaaaat caagtaagct actctaaatc agtatcagtt gtgattattt gtttaacatt gtatgtcttt attttgaaat aaatacatat gtggaaaaaa caacatgagc tggtctcttg gcaattattc | |
| <210> 17 <211> 239 <212> DNA <213> Homo sapiens | |
| <400> 17 ctggtttcat ttctactgtg actgatgtta catcacagtg tttgcaatgg tgttgccctg agtggatctc caaggaccag gttattttaa aaagatttgt tttgtcaagt gtcatatgta ggtgtctgca cccaggggtg gggaatgttt gggcagaagg gagaaggatc tagaatgtgt tttctgaata acatttgtgt ggtgggttct ttggaaggag tgagatcatt ttcttatct | 3 2060 a 2120 t 180 |
| <210> 18 | - \$0 - 50 |

PCT/US00/30888 WO 01/34624

. . ê

. . . ,40

7,60 - 191

| <211> 340 | |
|--|-------------------------|
| <212> DNA | =: |
| <213> Rattus norvegicus | |
| | · · · · · · |
| <400> 18 | 60 · ` ` |
| cctattagtg aagattggta aaattgtcag tttaacccgg ctgtcctact actaatattt | 120 |
| The transport of the tr | 180 |
| transferre actatttta tatacatcat tadiquituda adacycyddy cocadaby | |
| | 240 |
| tggatagcta gttgtttgtt aatataaagt aaaagtagat agctgattta tgttgtacct | 300 |
| atgtcgtatg tatattaggt atcgtgttgt ctcactaaag | 340 |
| acycogcaeg cacaconsys and s | • |
| .010- 10 | |
| <210> 19 | 4. 8 |
| <211> 240 | 1.34 |
| <212> DNA | 2421 |
| <213> Rattus norvegicus | 7.1.1 |
| | 20 |
| <400> 19 | . > 60 |
| gtcgacgtgt cgaaaggatg ctcaggatat gaatgagcca gcggacgcgt gaatggaggt | 120 |
| ggatggaage gtgaagtaca aggegggget ceagetgggt gacetegagg cagacaggee ggatggaage gtgaagtaca aggegggaga | 180 |
| ggatggaage gtgaageact aggoggeece cacteegete cecteecege ggegegeaga ggggeteect ceceteteet eccageetee cacteegete cecteecege ggegegeaga | 240 |
| cetteegage cetecegage tacegeggge aacagagaeg ggaceaegea gecaeegage | |
| | |
| | |
| <210> 20 | |
| <211> 259 | |
| <212> DNA | - |
| <213> Mus musculus | 50 |
| | 120 |
| <400> 20 | 1,870 |
| procedures aggregatete caggatogte etetgacaet ceteagatga actificate | ≂ <u>ु</u> कृ60 :#30 |
| torgoogeth actatatatat tacaagatga gattataata tattacagga agaagaaga | 120 |
| https://granta agaittaata atogtotto tottoggaag codcaccada goddaccada | 180 |
| tgggggcctt gttttgtttt ggtttttgga gacagggtct cactgtgtag tcctagctgg | 240 |
| estagonata actatataa | 259 |
| cctggaactc actatgtag | . a |
| | ,,- |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/30888

| NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. **Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. **Special eatogories of cited documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. **Special eatogories of cited documents to be of particular robustous to be of particular robustous to be of particular robustous document which may throw doubs on priority claim(o) or which is cited to eatablish the publishied date of another citation or other special researce (as specified) **To document which may throw doubs on priority claim(o) or which is cited to establish the publishied date of another citation or other special researce (as specified) **To document referring to an oral discissary, use, exhibition or other means **To document published prior to the international filing date but later "at" **To document published and not in conflict with the subjection document is combined to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone of considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, each combination bein obvious to priority date claimed **To document inventive to provive the document is combined with the animal results of particular robustos; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, each combination bein obvious to priority date claimed **To document inventive to international filing date or priority date of the international filing da | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| US CL: 556/83.1, 24.1; 455/6, 4 PIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) US: 559/83.1, 24.1; 455/6, 4 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) a Please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. X. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. See patent family annex. Liter documents published after the formational filling data to the continuents to be of such as a principle and transfer tentions or size. The contents information and particular relevance the chianed investion cannot be continued to the continuents to the state of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the continuents are lined to understance and the continuents to the content investigate to turn the content of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the content of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the content of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the content of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the content of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the content of particular relevance; the chianed investion cannot be continued to turn the content of t | | | 10 (60) 10 (10) |
| According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: \$58/42.1, 48-1; 435/6, 4 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) and please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. J. Spetial estagents of clied tennessis. document laffining the general class of the set visibility and the search of the comment of particular instruction and the comment of the search of the continuation and the comment of the search of the continuation and the continuation of the continuation and the continua | · · · | | |
| Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 558/43.1, 24.1; 435/6, 4 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used). Please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. X. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Escription of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. | | | |
| U.S.: 538/28.1, 24.1; 435/6, 4 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used). Please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. X. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. X. Special estagents of clast documents to the formation of Box C. See patent family annex. Liter documents building the general tase of the art which is not considered to be of graticular relevance to the contract of particular relevance to the contract of particular relevance to the contract of the formation and the document is taken since document in the publication date of another clustone or other special masser (as specified). It is not considered to the propriety and extends a price of the same patent family. The document referring to an oral disclosura, use, exhibition or other manual of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication date of another clustone or other than the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the document of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication of the contraction of the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the contract of patential relevance the claimed | B. FIEL | DS SEARCHED | |
| U.S.: 538/28.1, 24.1; 435/6, 4 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used). Please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. X. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. X. Special estagents of clast documents to the formation of Box C. See patent family annex. Liter documents building the general tase of the art which is not considered to be of graticular relevance to the contract of particular relevance to the contract of particular relevance to the contract of the formation and the document is taken since document in the publication date of another clustone or other special masser (as specified). It is not considered to the propriety and extends a price of the same patent family. The document referring to an oral disclosura, use, exhibition or other manual of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication date of another clustone or other than the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the document of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the publication of the contraction of the publication of the contraction of patential relevance the claimed invention cannot be when the contract of patential relevance the claimed | Minimum d | ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) | |
| Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used). Please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. See patent family annex. Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. | U.S. : | 586/25.1, 24.1; 435/6, 4 | |
| Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used). Please See Extra Sheet. C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. The document of fining the general state of the set which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the late and in the continuation of the search of of | | | |
| C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity, Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Final estagation the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the data which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the comment of the same pations that the application cannot be considered to resolve an inventive ste when the document is taken sharing the involve an inventive ste when the document is the involve an inventive ste or the considered to involve an inventive ste or the protect of the considered to involve an inventive ste or the considered to involve a | | tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are | included in the fields |
| C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. K. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: At document defining the general state of the ast which is not considered to be of particular relevance or cited document of the set of particular relevance of the international filling date or priority comment to the principle or theory underlying the towards on the principle or theory underlying the towards of the principle or theory underlying date or principle or the theory underlying date to understant to be principle or the theory underlying date to understant to be principle or the theory underlying date to understant of the principle or the theory underlying date to understant or the principle or the theory underlying date to understant or the principle or the theory underlying the towards or the principle or the theory underlying the principle or the two principle or the towards or the principle or the two principles or the principle or the princi | | | e, search terms used) |
| NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special estagecies of cited documents: At document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular role reasons for the document of particular role rounds of particular role rounds of the cited to reablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) Comment within my throw deaths on printing claim(s) or which is cited to reablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) Comment published prior to the international filling date but later of the same patent family document published prior to the international filling date but later of the same patent family | Lierse Des | EXITA Sheet. | |
| NAGLE et al. The Mahogany Protein is a Receptor Involved in Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of ciled documents: document affining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to earlier document published on or after the international filing date to be of particular relevance to catellish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disciousre, use, exhibition or other mass. "To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the princity date claimed." document published prior to the international filing date but later than the princity date claimed. "To document published prior to the international filing date or other mass." "To document profit with the application to considered to every underlying the internation decument of particular relevance, the claimed investion cannot be considered to involve as inventive step when the document is taken alone of countered to province the comment, each combination bein obvious to province than inventive step when the document is combine with one or more other such document, each combination bein obvious to province that principle or theory in a inventive step when the document is combine with one or more other such document, each combination bein obvious to province date or lambs." document member of the same patent family | C. DOC | UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | |
| Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 148-152, see especially page 152. GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents document and document and document and document and the splication but cited to unabstance to be of particular released. The document published on or after the international filling date document which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the splication date of another citation or other special reason (as specifical) To document referring to an oral disciousare, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filling date but later to considered to involve an invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stew when the document is claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stew when the document is combined with the priority date claimed invention or other means. The document published prior to the international filling date but later to comment of particular sentence; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stew when the document is combined with the priority date claimed to the priority date claimed invention or other special reason (as specifical) To document referring to an oral disciousare, use, exhibition or other means. | Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
| GUNN et al. The Mouse Mahogany Locus Encodes a Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. See patent family annex. To document defining the general state of the act which is not considered to be of particular relevance artier document published on or after the international filing date with the special research (as specified) cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special research (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later 'g' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later 'g' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means the priority date claimed to the following the document special research (as specified) document reprinting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means the priority date claimed to the following the documents seembles with each comment, such combination bein orbition to a person skilled in the axt | X | Suppression of Obesity. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages | 1-3 |
| Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, Vol. 398, pages 152-156, see especially page 156. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: At document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance earlier document published or after the international filing date The document which may threw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) The document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means The document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means The document published prior to the international filing date but later the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document is the priority of the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document is combine with one or more other such document is examined to involve an inventive step when the document when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is examined to involve an inventive step when the document is combine with one or more other such document is examined to involve an inventive step when the document is examined to involve an inventive step when the document is examined to involve an inventive step when the document is examined to involve an inventive step when the document is examined. | , | 148-152, see especially page 152. | |
| *Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later "A" document member of the same patent family | X | Transmembrane Form of Human Attractin. Nature. 11 March 1999, | 1-3 |
| *Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later "A" document member of the same patent family | | | |
| ** Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later the priority date claimed. "A" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understant the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be c | ·. ·. | | |
| document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later "A" document member of the same patent family | · Furth | er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. | , e. |
| cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family | "A" door to b | ument defining the general state of the art which is not considered e of particular relevance iter document published on or after the international filing date date and not in conflict with the application of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered. | lication but cited to understand invention a claimed invention cannot be |
| "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later "&" document member of the same patent family than the priority date claimed | cite spec | d to establish the publication date of another citation or other "Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive step among treferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other with one or more other such documents of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive step among treferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other | when the document is combined nents, such combination being |
| | "P" door | nment published prior to the international filing date but later "&" document member of the same patent | |
| Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 13 WAR 2001 | Date of the | actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search 13 WAR 2001 | arch report |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Telephone No. (708) 808 8080 | Commission Box PCT Washington | ner of Patents and Trademarks D.C. 20231 GERALD G. LEFFERS JR. | Ser Ju |
| Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235 | racsimile No | o. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235 | V 1.1 |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/50888

...

an aliy Maland

nest ben-

| R FIELDS SEARCHE | חי |
|------------------|----|

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

EAST: DERWENT EPO JPO USPAT; STN: MEDLINE BIOSIS CAPLUS EMBASE search terms: inventors' names, transcription, regulation, cis, stability or processing, mRNA, gene expression, mahogany, RNA binding

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.